

THE BULLETIN

OUR VIEW ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MATTERS

Assessment Questions for Audit Committees to Consider

(Offered in Conjunction With Protiviti's Annual Setting the
Audit Committee Agenda Bulletin)

In addition to considering the suggested agenda points and questions in Protiviti's *Setting the 2025 Audit Committee Agenda* publication with management, audit committees may also wish to self-assess their performance utilising this companion assessment questionnaire.

The following questions are provided to assist audit committee members in their periodic assessments of committee composition, agenda and focus, in view of the company's industry, circumstances, risks, financial reporting issues and other current challenges it may be facing.

These questions are intended to be illustrative and do not purport to cover every topic the committee should consider nor are they intended to apply to every audit committee. The full list of questions may be more suited to larger companies. Accordingly, they should be customised to the committee's specific needs and assessment focus.

These questions should be used in conjunction with the agenda items suggested in Protiviti's *The Bulletin*, "Setting the 2025 Audit Committee Agenda," as those items are unique to the next 12 months and may not be included specifically in this summary.

Committee Composition and Dynamics:

- Do all members of the committee meet the applicable independence requirements, such as not receiving additional compensatory income outside of director fees, having family members serving in senior executive positions, and not being affiliated with the company, its subsidiaries or the independent auditor.
- Do committee members have the requisite business and leadership experience and is the committee's composition sufficiently diverse to oversee the financial reporting process, expanded emphasis on disclosing nonfinancial information to investors and other relevant issues germane to the committee's chartered activities?
 - Are all members of the committee financially literate (e.g., are they capable of reading and understanding the financial statements)?
 - Is at least one audit committee member an expert in financial reporting matters germane to the industry-specific issues the company faces in preparing financial reports in accordance with applicable accounting standards?
 - Does the committee have access to other sources of expertise beyond financial reporting needed to fulfill its chartered responsibilities (e.g., technology, cybersecurity and regulatory matters)?
 - Does the composition of the committee reflect a sufficiently diverse range of backgrounds, experiences and perspectives to foster robust dialogue, challenge conventional wisdom, and bring a variety of insights to bear on audit-related issues and decision-making processes?
- Are committee members periodically rotated, including the chair, to encourage fresh perspectives in discharging the committee's responsibilities and to avoid any potential perception for the impairment of independence or objectivity?
- Are the frequency and duration of committee meetings sufficient to permit active discussions with management and other executives on all key topics?
- Does the committee engage independent advisers when needed?
- Does the committee coordinate its activities with other board committees to ensure sufficient board oversight coverage of significant matters?

Committee Charter and Agenda:

- Does the committee review and approve the charter and align its activities with a calendar that incorporates all required activities and allows flexibility to cover additional topics as they emerge?
- Are the committee charter and agenda focused on the issues most likely to affect the quality of financial and other information reported?
- Are meeting agendas developed in consultation with management and the external auditor?
- Are committee meeting materials and agendas aligned with the priority areas?

Oversight of Internal Controls and Financial Reporting:

- Do committee members understand the key controls and reporting risk areas identified by management, the internal auditors and the independent auditor?
- Does the committee focus its oversight on understanding high-risk and complex accounting and reporting areas — particularly areas involving significant judgement and estimation processes — and how management addresses them and their financial statement impact?
- Does the committee understand the issues raised in comment letters the company receives from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and management’s planned response?
- Does the committee stay abreast of pending financial reporting and regulatory developments and understand how they may affect the company? For example, does it consider the nature of SEC comment letters being issued to competitors and other companies regarding issues germane to the industry as well as emerging focus areas of the SEC relevant to the company?
- Does the committee give adequate attention to overseeing the following areas:
 - The financial reporting process, including reviewing annual and quarterly financial statements, earnings releases and the accompanying management’s discussion and analysis, information and guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies and pro forma or “adjusted” non-GAAP measures (and key performance indicators included therein)?
 - Critical accounting policies, quality of management judgements and estimates impacting the financial statements, and written communications between external and internal auditors and management?
 - Implementation of new accounting standards and disclosure rules?
 - Adequacy of disclosures of significant transactions involving related parties?
 - Existence of significant pressure to meet budgeted or expected performance targets?
 - Consideration of fraud risk, including the risk of fraudulent financial reporting?
 - Management’s purpose for reporting non-GAAP, alternate performance measures and other key operational measures in public reports and the disclosure controls and procedures for ensuring their propriety, accuracy and consistency with prior periods?
- Is the committee satisfied with the following:
 - That appropriate financial reporting controls and disclosure controls and procedures are in place?
 - That it is being notified of any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses on a timely basis, and is being kept informed of steps taken along the timetable for correction?
 - That it is notified promptly of significant compliance issues and briefed regularly on the status of outstanding issues and their remediation?

Oversight of the External Auditor:

Does the committee give adequate attention to the following areas in conjunction with ensuring audit quality:

- Hiring, retention, performance and compensation of the external auditor, including preapproval of nonaudit services to be provided by the auditor?
- Understanding current Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) inspection report findings related to the external auditor and the potential implications of those findings with respect to the nature, timing and extent of auditors' procedures or related matters affecting the audit process?
- Monitoring PCAOB developments and their implications to the scope of the external audit?
- Approving policies on hiring personnel from the external auditor (with an appropriate "cooling-off" period)?
- Setting the tone for the company's relationship with the external auditor in preserving auditor objectivity, in part, through direct oversight of the audit relationship and evaluating the auditor's independence?
- Evaluating the propriety of nonaudit services, including those provided in the environmental, social and governance (ESG) space when the auditor is attesting to ESG disclosures?
- Meeting periodically with the lead audit partner(s) and the specialists (e.g., tax, IT, valuation, actuarial), as used by the auditor, who contribute to the audit process and, when necessary, engaging in dialogue outside of formal committee meetings?
- Defining expectations regarding the nature and method of communication from the auditor, particularly critical audit matters (CAMs) for both the company and its industry?
- Understanding the CAMs reported and whether they represent significant judgemental issues on which management and the auditor may not agree or if management may be applying aggressive accounting — indicating an opportunity to streamline and improve the quality of the company's accounting and reporting processes?

Risk Oversight:

- Does the audit committee understand the company's risk profile and discuss with management the company's policies related to risk assessment and risk management? (Note: This is a requirement for NYSE-listed issuers.)
- If the audit committee takes on only those risk oversight responsibilities that address the risks inherent in the committee's chartered activities (e.g., financial reporting, fraud, reputation, and certain compliance, technology and other risks), does it collaborate with other board committees and the full board to ensure that significant risks are not missed by the board in its overall risk oversight, such as cybersecurity, data privacy, compliance and third-party risks?
- With respect to risks inherent in the audit committee's charter, does the committee receive periodic updates from management responsible for each of the priority risks?

- If the board delegates its overall risk oversight responsibilities to the audit committee, is the committee able to devote sufficient time to the risk oversight process as well as to its other responsibilities? To that end, does the committee:
 - Allow sufficient time to monitor the strength of the company’s risk governance and culture?
 - Periodically review management’s assessment of the top enterprise risks, including the member of management who owns each risk and the board committee responsible for overseeing each risk?
 - Ensure management has in place a reasonable information and reporting and monitoring system with regard to the critical enterprise risks that warrant the most attention, and that the committee is privy to the system’s insights from time to time and whenever necessary?
 - Periodically assess quality and reliability of risk reports received from management?
 - Consider the propriety of risk tolerances related to the significant risks, including whether they may be too low and discourage appropriate innovation and entrepreneurial risk-taking?
 - Periodically discuss with management whether (a) the appropriate “tone at the top” is reinforcing the company’s values and promoting a “risk aware” culture and (b) the “tone in the middle” is aligned with the “tone at the top”?
 - Work with the compensation committee to understand whether existing incentive compensation plans encourage the undertaking of unacceptable risks?
- If the audit committee oversees cybersecurity, does it have access to sufficient cybersecurity expertise to ensure that the right questions are asked (including those related to cybersecurity disclosure rules for SEC registrants)?
- Regardless of the scope of risk oversight, as designated by the full board, are committee members satisfied that they:
 - Understand the business, technology and other risks that could affect financial and public reporting?
 - Receive appropriate overviews from business leaders concerning matters germane to financial risks and other factors influencing the financial statements and public reports?
 - Are coordinated with other board committees, if/as applicable (e.g., risk, cyber, sustainability)?

Business Context:

Does the audit committee have a strong business context to discharge its responsibilities effectively? For example, does it consider:

- Changes in the business environment that can result in changes in the assumptions underlying financial reporting assertions and different financial reporting risks?
- Significant changes to and/or rapid expansion of operations or unusual disruptions that can strain the control environment and increase the risk of a breakdown in key controls?

- Changes in the overall control environment, including tone at the top, which could affect its overall effectiveness?
- New business models, products, services or activities that may introduce new risks associated with financial reporting?
- New disclosure requirements, accounting pronouncements and tax regulations?
- Other relevant aspects of the business environment that present change from the prior year that could have financial reporting implications, such as increased credit risk, inventory write-downs, asset impairments, and recording and disclosure of loss contingencies?

Corporate Culture:

Unless responsibility is delegated to one or more other board committees, does the audit committee oversee:

- The organisation's ethics and legal compliance policies, including its code of conduct and tone at the top set by management regarding ethical and responsible business behavior?
- The adequacy of the organisation's confidential, anonymous hotlines and other procedures for handling complaints and employee concerns on accounting, financial reporting, internal control, auditing and code of conduct matters, and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and internal policies?
- The initiation of internal and independent investigations on matters within the committee's scope of responsibilities?
- The impact of a remote work or hybrid environment on the company's culture and control environment?
- The handling of management's override of established controls and waivers of conflicts of interest policies, including the risk mitigation and control mechanisms in place?

Executive Sessions:

- Are audit committee meetings preceded or followed by private sessions with the chief financial officer (CFO), chief audit executive (CAE) and other executives (e.g., chief information officer, chief information security officer) as warranted?
- Does the committee periodically meet separately with the independent auditor?
- Does the committee meet in closed executive session for its members to discuss:
 - Issues of concern, how the meeting went, areas requiring a better understanding and agenda topics to cover in future meetings?
 - Evaluation of the CFO and other finance executives?
 - Evaluation of the CAE?

ESG Reporting:

- Has the committee collaborated with the board and various committee chairs to ensure that the board has sufficient overall input into ESG and sustainability performance, reporting and disclosures?
- Has the committee considered the implications of the company's human capital, climate and other ESG disclosures on the assumptions underlying financial reporting assertions?
- Does the committee engage with management on disclosure controls and procedures relating to ESG metrics and reporting (sometimes referred to as "internal control over sustainability reporting")?
- Has the company designated an ESG controller (or its equivalent)? If not, has the committee inquired as to how management is ensuring the integrity of the company's ESG disclosures to the market?
- If the company opts to obtain external assurance, does the committee ensure there is appropriate board oversight over such activities, including ensuring auditor independence?

Oversight of the Finance Organisation:

Does the committee:

- Discuss succession planning for the CFO and accounting and finance staff, including the CFO's organisation's bench strength?
- Understand finance's process for early identification and resolution of accounting and other issues?
- Understand plans to address new accounting and reporting requirements and related risks?
- Understand how the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and other technologies into finance processes is being managed?
- Provide input into the finance organisation's goal-setting process?

Oversight of Internal Audit:

Does the committee:

- Ensure that the CAE has direct reporting access to it?
- Play an active role in determining the highest and best use of internal audit, as well as the appropriate structure of the group (e.g., in-house versus outsourced resources)?
- Have transparency into the internal audit risk assessment and audit plans, including activities and objectives regarding internal control over financial reporting?
- Discuss, review and approve any potential conflict of interest issues that may arise through internal audit's advisory and consulting services or through internal audit support from any co-sourced service provider that may also provide other services to the organisation?
- Obtain a clear understanding of internal audit's strategic plan, transformation priorities and performance objectives to improve its performance continuously?

- Focus on whether any high-risk areas are being deferred or otherwise not addressed by the audit plan?
- Ensure that it has a “coverage view of risk,” including the extent to which key risks are being addressed by other assurance functions (e.g., risk management, ethics and compliance, SOX financial controls PMO and other second-line functions)?
- Understand internal audit staffing, funding and succession planning, particularly the adequacy of resources to deliver on the audit plan?
- Understand internal audit’s plans regarding an external quality assessment of the function, including direct communication between the audit committee and the independent assessor?

Committee Effectiveness:

- Prior to reporting on its activities to the full board and/or to shareholders, is the committee satisfied a process is in place to ensure that all matters in the committee charter are covered sufficiently by its activities?
- Do committee members have the time to do their jobs effectively and fulfill the responsibilities specified by the charter?
- Does the committee serve as an advocate for financial reporting, and the related internal controls, in working with other board committees and the full board to monitor execution of corporate initiatives, such as cost-reduction plans, AI implementations, workforce transition (to or from remote and in-person work arrangements) as well as enterprise resource planning and financial system implementations, so that they are not unintentionally implemented in ways that would compromise management’s meeting of its financial reporting responsibilities?
- Regarding committee meetings:
 - Are briefing and other materials concise and focused? Are they distributed well in advance? Do committee members review those materials prior to the meeting?
 - Do reports include executive summaries that highlight issues and critical points to allow for focused discussions (versus presentations) during meetings?
 - Do meetings allow open and candid discussions among attendees?
- If a member serves simultaneously on multiple audit committees (say, for more than three public companies), has the board considered whether that individual is able to devote sufficient time and attention to the items on the company’s audit committee agenda?

- At least annually, does the committee:
 - Perform a robust self-assessment, including the contribution and performance of individual members, and are the results discussed with committee members in executive session and plans developed to implement improvements?
 - Review its responsibilities with the board chair to ensure that its workload is manageable?
 - Obtain informal feedback from the board, CEO, CFO and external auditors as to how it can best contribute value?

Member Orientation and Education:

Does the committee:

- Ensure that new members receive appropriate onboarding and orientation that trains their attention on its chartered responsibilities, agenda and focus, the company's business, and its most significant accounting and reporting issues?
- Include educational topics on meeting agendas periodically (such as a deep dive on a specific area of the business and related risks or a refresher in a significant accounting area)?
- Address board education requirements in accordance with the company's corporate governance guidelines and consistent with applicable listing standards?

Protiviti (www.protiviti.com) is a global consulting firm that delivers deep expertise, objective insights, a tailored approach and unparalleled collaboration to help leaders confidently face the future. Protiviti and its independent and locally owned member firms provide clients with consulting and managed solutions in finance, technology, operations, data, digital, legal, HR, risk and internal audit through a network of more than 90 offices in over 25 countries.

Named to the *Fortune* 100 Best Companies to Work For® list for the 10th consecutive year, Protiviti has served more than 80 percent of *Fortune* 100 and nearly 80 percent of *Fortune* 500 companies. The firm also works with government agencies and smaller, growing companies, including those looking to go public. Protiviti is a wholly owned subsidiary of **Robert Half Inc.** (NYSE: RHI).